

Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate: 69.6 % of 69 enrolled

Were student ratings for this report collected on the web? Yes

Date of Report: 10/08/2017

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low

	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Instructor's preparedness, organization of material, and clarity of presentation							
1. Well organized	48	6 %	0 %	4 %	25 %	65 %	0 %
5. Instructor well prepared	48	6	4	4	19	67	0
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	48	8	4	15	15	58	0
Rapport and instructor commitment							
3. Instructor interested in teaching	48	6	0	6	27	60	0
6. Instructor interested in helping students	48	6	0	2	25	67	0
8. Created learning atmosphere	48	6	2	15	19	58	0
Students' sense of their own learning							
2. Intellectually stimulating	48	6	4	10	31	48	0
4. Encouraged independent thinking	48	6	2	6	27	58	0
7. Learned greatly from instructor	48	8	0	15	25	52	0
10. Overall rating	48	2	2	4	25	67	0

Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the prior 12 months, 529 instructors and 866 course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and 1137 instructors and 2161 course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all the courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, 7.2% of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the prior 12 months in your department or school.

Your comparison groups have the following qualities:

Class size: over 60

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was required in the student's major/minor or it fulfills a General Education requirement.

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>
1. Instructor well organized	4.4	1.1	4.1	0.4	4.1	0.5	4.1	0.5
2. Intellectually stimulating	4.1	1.2	4.0	0.4	4.0	0.4	3.9	0.6
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.4	1.1	4.3	0.4	4.3	0.4	4.1	0.6
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.3	1.1	4.2	0.4	4.2	0.4	4.0	0.6
5. Instructor well prepared	4.4	1.2	4.2	0.4	4.3	0.5	4.1	0.5
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.5	1.0	4.2	0.4	4.2	0.4	4.1	0.6
7. Learned greatly from instructor	4.1	1.2	3.9	0.5	4.0	0.5	3.8	0.6
8. Created learning atmosphere	4.2	1.2	4.0	0.5	4.1	0.5	3.9	0.6
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	4.1	1.3	4.0	0.6	4.0	0.5	3.8	0.7
10. Overall rating	4.5	0.9	4.1	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.0	0.6

Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)

